

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,414	01/14/2004	Takayuki Hattori	2927-0166P	2050
2292	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EGWIM. KELECHI CHIDI	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			U			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/756,414	HATTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,			
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713	J			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2004.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Intention Summer	v (PTO-413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-11, 14-20 and 22-26, drawn to a polymeric antistatic agent and a composition comprising same, classified in class 524, subclass 80+.
  - II. Claims 12, 13 and 21, drawn to an method of manufacturing an antistatic polymer composition containing the components, classified in class 525, subclass 55+.
  - Claims 27, 29 and 30, drawn to a product and imaging-forming apparatus prepared from the composition of group I, classified in class 358, subclass 305.
  - IV Claim 28, drawn to rubber products prepared from the composition of group I, classified in class 428, subclass 543.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by a process of first mixing the first component and the third component, with the subsequent admixing of the second component.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414

Art Unit: 1713

3. Invention I is related to inventions III and IV as mutually exclusive species in

intermediate-final product relationships. Distinctness is proven for claims in this

Page 3

relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product

(MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP §

806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in

materially different products, as evidenced by claims 28 and 29 and the inventions are

deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be

obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that

this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Inventions III and IV are unrelated and invention II is unrelated to inventions III

and IV. The inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04,

MPEP § 808.01).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for the other(s), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Andrew D. Meikle, on 3/4/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,414

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCE

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER